Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816 10001 Park Run Drive

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	Marquis Aurbach	
	Craig R. Anderson, Esq.	
2	Nevada Bar No. 6882	
ړ	10001 Park Run Drive	
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145	
ا ۸	Telephone: (702) 382-0711	
4	Facsimile: (702) 382-5816	
5	canderson@maclaw.com	
	Attorneys for Defendants LVMPD, James Lo	aRochelle and Thomas Thowsen
6		
	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
7		District Court
	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA
8		
	KIRSTIN BLAISE LOBATO,	CASE NO. 2:19-cv-01273-RFI
9	KIKSTIN BLAISE LOBATO,	CASE NO. 2.19-00-012/3-RFI

B-EJY

VS.

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT; THOMAS THOWSEN, and JAMES LaROCHELLE,

Defendants.

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT (ECF NO. 104)

Defendants Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department ("LVMPD"), Thomas Thowsen, and James LaRochelle (cumulatively "LVMPD Defendants"), by and through their attorneys of record, Marquis Aurbach Coffing, hereby answer and allege in accordance with FRCP 15(a)(3), Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint (ECF No. 104) as follows:

#### INTRODUCTION

1. In answering paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2. In answering paragraphs 6 and 7 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

#### **PARTIES**

3. In answering paragraph 8 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

4.	In answering paragraphs 9 and 10 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complain
the LVMPD I	efendants admit the allegations contained therein.

5. In answering paragraph 11 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

#### FACTUAL BACKGROUND

6. In answering paragraphs 12, 13 and 14 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

#### PLAINTIFF WAS ASSAULTED IN MAY 2001

7. In answering paragraphs 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

## PLAINTIFF WAS IN PANACA, NEVADA CONTINUOUSLY FROM JULY 2 TO 9, 2001

- 8. In answering paragraphs 27, 37 and 38 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants admit the allegations contained therein.
- 9. In answering paragraphs 28, 31 and 39 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 10. In answering paragraphs 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35 and 36 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

#### THE MURDER OF DURAN BAILEY ON JULY 8, 2001

11. In answering paragraphs 40, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 49, 55, 62 and 63 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
2	12
200-200	13
_	

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

	12.	In answering paragraphs 41, 48, 51, 52, 53, 54, 56, 57 and 60 of Plaintiff's
First A	Amende	d Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient information to
form a	n opini	on as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the
same.		

13. In answering paragraphs 42, 50, 58, 59, 61 and 64 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

# DEFENDANTS THOWSEN AND LAROCHELLE KNEW THAT PLAINTIFF DID NOT MURDER DURAN BAILEY BUT WRONGFULLY ARRESTED HER ANYWAY

- 14. In answering paragraphs 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 71, 72, 75, 78, 79, 83, 85, 87 and 88 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants admit the allegations contained therein.
- 15. In answering paragraphs 70, 73, 74, 76, 77, 80, 81, 82, 84, 86, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101 and 102 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained therein.
- 16. In answering paragraph 80 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

### DEFENDANTS MANIPULATED WITNESS STATEMENTS AND IGNORED EXCULPATORY INFORMATION

17. In answering paragraphs 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, and 110 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

#### PLAINTIFF'S WRONGFUL PROSECUTION AND CONVICTION

- 18. In answering paragraphs 111, 117, 119 and 120 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained therein.
- 19. In answering paragraphs 112, 113, 116 and 118 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

	20.	In	answering	paragraphs	114	and	115	of	Plaintiff's	First	Amended
Comp	olaint, th	ie LV	/MPD Defe	ndants are wi	thout	suffic	cient i	nfor	mation to fo	orm an	opinion as
to the	truth of	the	allegations o	contained the	rein aı	nd, th	erefor	re, d	eny the sam	ie.	

#### **PLAINTIFF'S EXONERATION**

- 21. In answering paragraph 121 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 22. In answering paragraphs 122 and 123 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

#### **PLAINTIFF'S INJURIES**

23. In answering paragraphs 124, 125 and 126 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

# COUNT I: 42 U.S.C. §1983 – FIFTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS INVOLUNTARY CONFESSION

- 24. In answering paragraph 127 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 25. In answering paragraphs 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141 and 142 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

# COUNT II: 42 U.S.C. §1983 – FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS

- 26. In answering paragraph 143 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 27. In answering paragraphs 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 150 and 151 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28. In answering paragraphs 149 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants admit the allegations contained therein.

#### COUNT III: 42 U.S.C. §1983 – FOURTH AMENDMENT

## CONTINUED DETENTION WITHOUT PROBABLE CAUSE AND DEPRIVATION OF LIBERTY

- 29. In answering paragraph 152 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 30. In answering paragraphs 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158 and 159 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

#### COUNT IV: 42 U.S.C. §1983 – FAILURE TO INTERVENE

- 31. In answering paragraph 160 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 32. In answering paragraphs 161, 162, 163 and 164 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

# COUNT V: 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – CONSPIRACY TO DEPRIVE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

- 33. In answering paragraph 165 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 34. In answering paragraphs 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171 and 172 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

#### COUNT VI: NEVADA STATE LAW – MALICIOUS PROSECUTION

35. In answering paragraph 173 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

36.	In answering para	igraphs 174,	175,	176,	177	and	178	of	Plaintiff's	Firs
Amended Cor	nplaint, the LVMPI	Defendants	deny	the al	legat	ions (	conta	ine	d therein.	

#### COUNT VII: NEVADA STATE LAW – ABUSE OF PROCESS

- 37. In answering paragraph 179 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 38. In answering paragraphs 180, 181, 182 and 183 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

## COUNT VIII: NEVADA STATE LAW – INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF

- 39. In answering paragraph 184 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 40. In answering paragraphs 185, 186, 187 and 188 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

#### COUNT IX: NEVADA STATE LAW – CIVIL CONSPIRACY

- 41. In answering paragraph 189 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 42. In answering paragraphs 190, 191, 192, 193 and 194 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

#### COUNT X: NEVADA STATE LAW - INDEMNIFICATION

- 43. In answering paragraph 195 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 44. In answering paragraph 196 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
9	12
(702) 382-5816	13
(702)	14
FAX:	15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

6

45.	In answering paragraph 197 of Plaintiff's First Amended Comp	olaint, the
LVMPD Defe	endants admit the allegations contained therein.	

#### **COUNT XI: NEVADA CONSTITUTION – DUE PROCESS**

- 46. In answering paragraph 198 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 47. In answering paragraphs 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205 and 206 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

#### COUNT XII: NEVADA CONSTITUTION – CONTINUED DETENTION WITHOUT PROBABLE CAUSE AND DEPRIVATION OF LIBERTY

- 48. In answering paragraph 207 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants are without sufficient information to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, deny the same.
- 49. In answering paragraphs 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213 and 214 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants deny the allegations contained therein.

#### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

#### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

LVMPD did not enact or promulgate any policy, statute, ordinance or custom, policy or procedure which denied or abridged any of the Plaintiff's constitutional rights.

#### SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The damage(s) sustained by Plaintiff, if any, were caused by the acts of third persons who were not agents, servants, or employees of LVMPD or the named Defendants and who were not acting on behalf of LVMPD or the named Defendants in any manner or form, and, as such, the LVMPD Defendants are not liable in any manner to the Plaintiff.

#### THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

At all times mentioned in Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the LVMPD Defendants acted with a good faith belief that their actions were legally justifiable.

Page 7 of 9

MAC:14687-221 5140534 1

# MARQUIS AURBACH 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

#### FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent Plaintiff's causes of actions against the LVMPD Defendants sound in negligence, no recovery can be predicated upon 42 USCA § 1983.

#### FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Any injuries allegedly sustained by Plaintiff were the result of her own negligence or actions.

#### SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Individual Defendants are protected by the doctrine of qualified immunity.

#### **SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Plaintiff failed to mitigate her own damages.

#### EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The conduct of the LVMPD Defendants pertaining to the incident(s) alleged in Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint are not extreme, outrageous, or reckless.

#### NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's alleged damages were caused, in whole or in part, by an independent intervening cause.

#### TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The LVMPD Defendants cannot be held liable for an intracorporate conspiracy.

#### **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The LVMPD Defendants had probable cause to arrest.

#### TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The LVMPD Defendants did not fabricate any evidence.

#### THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The LVMPD Defendants did not withhold any evidence.

#### FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's unlawful interrogation/coercion claim (COUNT I) has already been dismissed by this Court and is, therefore, untenable as a matter of law.

WHEREFORE the LVMPD Defendants pray for judgment as follows: Page 8 of 9

MAC:14687-221 5140534 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1.	That Plaintiff takes nothing by virtue of her First Amended Complaint	on file
herein, th	t the same be dismissed with prejudice;	

- 2. For an award of reasonable attorney fees and costs of suit incurred in the defense of this action; and
  - 3. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. Dated this 11<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2023.

#### MARQUIS AURBACH

By: s/Craig R. Anderson
Craig R. Anderson, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6882
10001 Park Run Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Attornevs for LVMPD Defendants

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing <u>LVMPD DEFENDANTS'</u>

<u>ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT</u> with the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court by using the court's CM/ECF system on the 11<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2023.

☑ I further certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.

I further certify that some of the participants in the case are not registered CM/ECF users. I have mailed the foregoing document by First-Class Mail, postage prepaid, or have dispatched it to a third-party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days to the following non-CM/ECF participants: n/a

s/Sherri Mong
An employee of Marquis Aurbach